# The GHC packaging ecosystem

Duncan Coutts — duncan@well-typed.com

GHC Contributors' Workshop 2023 — Copyright © 2023 Well-Typed LLP



### Outline

- History
- Components overview: ghc-pkg, Cabal, cabal-install/stack, hackage-server and their relationship
- Focus on package dbs, package envs, ghc-pkg
- Focus on Cabal
- Focus on cabal-install
- Focus on hackage-server
- ► Q&A

But please interrupt with questions as we go!



Why look at history?

- To understand why things are the way they are now: what the context was; what problems were being solved.
- To understand what can or should be changed
- To understand what context is different now and thus what changes might be sensible



In the beginning...

There was ghc (and hugs and nhc98)

- several libraries bundled with ghc
- 3 libraries distributed independently of ghc (IIRC)
- much pressure to bundle more libraries with ghc

And the people saw that it was **not** good.



In the beginning...

There was ghc (and hugs and nhc98)

- several libraries bundled with ghc
- 3 libraries distributed independently of ghc (IIRC)
- much pressure to bundle more libraries with ghc

And the people saw that it was **not** good.

This was the problem, and **The Haskell Library and Tools Infrastructure Project** was going to solve it.



### History

Timeline of early history

- 2003-09-29 Isaac Jones proposes "The Library Infrastructure Project" at the 2003 Haskell Implementors Meeting
- 2004-04-26 Isaac Jones makes first Cabal commit (darcs of course)
- 2004-09-01 Isaac Jones announces Cabal 0.1
- 2005-04-xx David Himmelstrup develops cabal-get
- 2005-07-26 (Duncan's first Cabal patch!)
- 2005-10-23 Isaac Jones publishes Cabal paper at TFP '05
- 2005-04-30 cabal-get adopted and renamed to cabal-install
- 2006-09-14 first GHC hackathon (Portland)
- 2006-09-24 first packages uploaded to 'HackageDB'
- 2006-10-11 (Duncan's first release as Cabal release manager! Cabal-1.1.6)
- 2007-01-10 first Haskell hackathon (Oxford)



Original problems identified (Isaac Jones, 2003):

- Difficult to distribute (binary) Haskell libraries
- No standard build system
- Multiple compilers
- Language extensions and libraries a moving target
- No way to express dependencies on libs, compilers or versions
- No central repository for packages / libraries
- Hard to build Linux distro packages



Context at the time

- Standard build system interface:
  - ./configure && make && make install
- Linux distros are the state of the art in package distribution
- Distinction in roles between package author vs distro packager



Unclear at the time if the 'Right Way'™ to do things is:

- for the package to adapt to the environment, classic ./configure style
- make the environment adapt to the package, distro package manager style
- or a hybrid?

Package manager style needs **lots** of **accurate** metadata about dependencies.

Much effort in Linux distro packaging went into reverse engineering dependency metadata from ./configure style packages.

There were strong views both ways



Was also unclear who should be 'in control'

- ./configure puts decisions in the hands of the package author:
   e.g. use of optional dependencies found on the system
- Can be quite unclear how ./configure decides things or how to influence its choices
- Distro packaging people want to be in control:
   e.g. location of install, use of pre-existing system libraries

For a new packaging system we could ask package authors to declare metadata useful for distro packaging



Cabal was/is a specification! (plus some implementation)

- spec notionally agreed between Haskell compiler authors, tool authors and package authors
- spec requires support from compilers
- authors of ghc, nhc98 and hugs all co-authors of initial Cabal spec
- specifies \${compiler}-pkg CLI for package registry
- specifies installed package metadata file format e.g. input to ghc-pkg register
- specifies source package metadata file format: . cabal files

For example: explains why ghc-pkg depends on Cabal lib for file formats



ghc uses a package environment to satisfy module imports

A package environment is an ephemeral **set** of packages drawn from a list of **package databases** 

A package database is **set** of packages, represented on disk

ghc only reads package databases, never modifies

The ghc-pkg tool used to edit package databases

The ghc/ghc-pkg notion of a package is really a **library**, tools/pre-processors/applications are not registered



# Components overview: Cabal

### Cabal specification defines:

- the notion of a package for distribution (not the same as a ghc package!)
- the .cabal file format
- the Setup.hs CLI
- the ghc-pkg CLI
- the ghc-pkg 'installed package info' input file format

In theory this is compiler agnostic. In practice, hugs, nhc98 RIP



### Cabal library provides

- data types, parser and pretty printer for the .cabal file format and the ghc-pkg input format
- list of all known Haskell language extensions (in all compilers)
- the above now split out into Cabal-syntax library
- impl of the Setup.hs CLI using the 'Simple' build system
- 'Simple' build system support for multiple compilers
- hooks and utilities for custom Setup.hs scripts

These days the Setup. hs CLI is rarely invoked manually



cabal-install is a CLI for building and managing packages

- intended for use by human Haskell devs, as well as by tools
- implementation defined, not defined by specification
- mix of build tool and package management tool
- modern incarnation is based on projects
- a project is an environment for building a related set of packages
- supports a solver-based workflow for building projects (or fixed versions for (mostly))
- supports reproducible builds by index snapshots or freeze files
- fetches Haskell dependencies from remote sources: hackage archives, source control repos, local and remote tarballs



### Hackage archive format

- notionally defined by specification, implementation independent
- static file archives using generic HTTP servers are possible
- used by cabal-install and other tools
- provides an index of . cabal files
- provides package tarballs
- uses TUF-based cryptographic security system
- supports trustless mirrors
- append-only index format intended for incremental update



hackage-server is an implementation of a hackage archive

- standalone HTTP server application
- lots of features beyond serving archive
- users, permissions
- package uploads
- limited post-release editing of package metadata
- package browsing and search
- package haddock documentation
- used for the public hackage.haskell.org
- running private instances is possible



# Components overview: stack and stackage

stack is an alternative to cabal-install

- different philosophy and intended workflows
- focus on reproducible project builds
- based on curated collections of packages
- fixed versions of packages, from collections or selected manually
- intended for a non-solver based workflow (but can also use cabal-install to use solver)

stackage is sort-of an alternative to hackage

- stackage hosts curated versioned subsets of the public hackage
- stackage snapshots have a single version of each package
- all packages in a snapshot **should** work together
- stackage format is custom (based on git)



ghc's concept of a package

- a library
- an installed package
- a binary library built against specific dependencies
- also called a 'unit' within ghc code

Registration information for a package includes

- all the information needed to compile and link against this library
- other metadata not needed by ghc (but available to other tools)
- backpack unit info...
- some historical cruft
- defined by data InstalledPackageInfo from Cabal-syntax



Two kinds of package identifier

- source package identifier: name and version
- installed package identifier: opaque string identifier

ghc uniquely identifies packages by installed package identifier

Allows for multiple installed instances of the same source package, e.g. compiled against different dependencies

### Example

id: network-3.1.2.7-2d72df787370b1f16d6201e748b2276c2e8... name: network version: 3.1.2.7



A package environment is a concept used within ghc

- a set of packages (by unique installed package id)
- hopefully closed and coherent
- used to resolve module imports during compilation
- ephemeral, constructed and used within a ghc invocation

Constructing a package environment is sadly non-trivial

- packages selected from combination of package databases
- command line flags to select by source package id, or installed package id
- complicated 'shadowing' algorithm (to support old use cases)



A ghc package database:

- is a set of registered packages
- unique primary key is the installed package id
- not closed, dependencies can be satisfied from other databases
- has an on-disk representation

Multiple package dbs

- global package db: system wide in multi-user installations, contains all libraries bundled with ghc
- user package db: per-user db in multi-user installations, initially empty
- other specific package dbs: specified on ghc command line



On-disk representation:

- \${pkgdb}/\${pkgid}.conf: human readable registration files
- \${pkgdb}/package.cache: a binary cache of all the packages
- \${pkgdb}/package.cache.lock: lock file for modification

The binary cache:

- for efficiency
- ghc only reads the binary cache
- written by ghc-pkg
- avoids ghc depending on Cabal-syntax library



Historically:

- no separate concept of package environment
- the ghc package db(s) defined the environment
- constraint that there be at most one version of each source package in each db (or stack of dbs)

Result was blamed on Cabal, aka "Cabal Hell"

- installing new packages could break old ones
- or installing new packages have to be forced to be consistent with existing ones (which might be impossible)
- result depends on the order of installation non-deterministic

Grumpy Haskell developers



### Constraint

there can be at most one version of each package in each db

This is the problem! This is not a reasonable constraint!



### Constraint

there can be at most one version of each package in each db

This is the problem! This is not a reasonable constraint!

- Must allow multiple instances of the same version of the same source package
- Leads to design with source and installed package identifiers
- But still want coherent environments for compiling
- Leads to design with environment as a subset of package db
- Still some historical cruft in ghc from the old design e.g. exposed vs hidden packages, complex shadowing algorithm
- Backpack also relies on this design



# Persisting package environments

What environment do you get when you run ghci manually?

Selecting coherent environment from package db needs tool support.

Package environment files

- subset of ghc package cli flags
- select package dbs and package ids within

### Example:

~/.ghc/x86\_64-linux-8.10.7/environments/default

clear-package-db global-package-db package-db /home/duncan/.cabal/store/ghc-8.10.7/package.db package-id base-4.14.3.0

```
...
package-id network-3.1.2.7-2d72df787370b1f16d6201e748b2276c2e8...
```



Various ways to select a package environment

- explicitly by file -package-env \${file}
- explicitly by name -package-env \${name} from
   \$XDG\_DATA\_HOME/ghc/arch-os-version/environments/name
- implicitly via GHC\_ENVIRONMENT shell environment variable
- implicitly via local file .ghc.environment.arch-os-version
- \$XDG\_DATA\_HOME/ghc/arch-os-version/environments/default

Mechanism intended for tools to manage env files.

Allows 'entering' an environment for a shell session, or for a particular directory.



# ghc-pkg

ghc-pkg tool:

- manages package dbs: register, unregister
- provides interface to tools
- somewhat encapsulated package db file format
- uses Cabal-syntax library to parse input
- does some sanity checking
- some historical cruft

Also supports an idempotent mode

- add or remove \${pkgid}.conf file directly within package db dir
- run ghc-pkg recache
- idempotent mode suits package management tools



Cabal concept of a package

- unit of distribution
- a source package
- versioned
- somewhat flexible, can be built against a range of dependencies
- consists of several components: libraries, executables, tests
- one 'public' library, but recent support for multiple libraries
- distribution metadata: authors, license, homepage etc
- intended to be automagically translated into distro/system packages, which limits expressiveness somewhat

Roughly: Cabal component == ghc package/unit.



Cabal-syntax library

- defines the .cabal file format
- defines the ghc-pkg input format
- relatively recently split out of Cabal lib
- historically bootstrapping was a big deal
- defines types, parser and pretty printers

Less heavy dependency than Cabal library

But still chunky: pprox 16 kloc



# Cabal library

Cabal library

- implements the Setup.hs CLI
- implements the so-called 'Simple' build system
- pprox 25 kloc

'Simple' build system

- Historically "for simple packages"
- embodies a lot of knowledge on building Haskell code
- portable across OSs, even Windows
- multi-compiler design, still supports ghcjs and uhc
- not a great build system
- delegates to ghc --make



Cabal build phases: ./Setup \$cmd

configure find compiler, tools, check and resolve dependencies build pre-process, compile and link all components install move files into an image dir or final location register register libraries with the compiler

Modelled on classic ./configure && make && make install Originally designed to be a human interface

Now called by other tools (with hundreds of flags!)



With hindsight, Setup.hs was a design mistake

Original concept

- each package has its own build system (this was true at the time for the 3 pre-existing libraries!)
- standard CLI interface to the package's build system
- simple packages use the 'Simple' build system in Cabal
- complex packages use their own, or customised one from Cabal

In practice, **all** packages use the 'Simple' build system, or 'Custom' which is Simple with custom pre and post hooks.

We pay the costs but do not exercise the flexibility



Problems with Setup.hs

- would like to use one build system across all packages
- incompatible with using GHCi across multiple packages
- incompatible with IDEs
- slow: configure step repeated for every package
- custom Setup.hs scripts are fragile
- CLI had to grow lots of features to support package managers
- CLI no longer a human interface
- incompatible with building package components independently
- hard to build in parallel
- blocks or complicates various other features



Packages and distribution are to enable large scale distributed development

Packages evolve over time

Packages can often work with a range of versions of a dependency

Flexibility is often necessary to enable reuse



Each component specifies dependencies with an optional version range

Example

build-depends: bytestring >= 0.9 && < 0.12

When using a package in a context we have to decide exact versions of dependencies. Can choose manually or automagically.



Cabal file format supports conditionals and per-package flags

These can express optional dependencies

Example

if compiler(ghc) build-depends: ghc-prim if flag(feature-foo) build-depends: foo ghc-options: -DENABLE\_FOO

Package flags can be controlled by the user or selected automagically



cabal-install is intended as a tool for developers for building code Necessarily involves dependency and package management The modern incarnation is project based, steals ideas from nix



Deciding what versions of dependencies to use is tricky First version of cabal-install

- would recursively download and build packages from hackage
- näive algorithm to decide versions of dependencies
- could scale to several package dependencies!



Why is this a hard problem?

Types!

Passing something of type T between two libraries requires the libraries agree on the type of T, hence both must be built against the same version of the library that defines T.

This introduces equality constraints in selecting package versions.

In general this turns out to be an NP-complete problem!



# Dependency resolution

cabal-install uses a solver

- custom solver
- constraint based
- plus soft preferences for heuristics
- 'only' 4kloc
- evolved over the years, especially performance
- now scales to large projects
- but still can be slow
- error messages are ok but not great



Dependency resolution also has to resolve values of per-package flags

### Example

if flag(feature-foo) build-depends: foo ghc-options: -DENABLE\_FOO

The user can fix a choice, or the solver can find a choice that works.

This allows picking an optional dependency by default if it works.



A cabal project:

- contains a set of local packages
- defines an environment for local packages and dependencies
- allows setting configuration for all packages (local or deps)
- isolated from other projects
- opportunistic sharing with other projects
- can support (mostly) reproducible builds



# Nix style package management

cabal-install steals ideas from nix

- package identifier is a hash of package config plus dependencies
- package store provides caching and sharing between projects
- single ghc package db covers whole store
- relies on ghc's installed package identifiers
- ghc environment per project

Gets many of the nix advantages

- installing new packages never breaks existing packages
- switching environments is cheap
- concurrent environments / projects
- deterministic: doesn't depend on what is installed already
- mostly reproducible, but cannot cover system dependencies

Solves most causes of "Cabal Hell"



# cabal-install internal flow

Most commands follow the same phases

- discovery phase: establish what is in the project
- solving phase: run the solver to make an install plan
- planning phase: elaborate the install plan with full details
- building phase: execute the detailed install plan
- post-build phase: update state and report failures

Reasonably nice separation

- Decide what to do, then do it
- Most complexity is in solving and planning
- elaborated install plan is a useful artefact for debugging cabal-install

Caching is used to skip solving and planning, and skip building components that are already up to date.



Crucial infrastructure to enable large scale distributed development

Centralised distribution of releases

- never intended to replace github or project homepages
- upstream stable archive for downstream distros
- crucial that package tarballs are stable (immutable)

Enables some light-touch quality assurance

- various checks at upload time
- post-upload editing of package metadata



# Hackage archive format

Hackage archive format

- package tarballs
- index tarball of all package .cabal files

### Archive directory layout

01-index.tar 01-index.tar.gz \${pkgname}/\${version}/\${pkgname}-\${version}.tar.gz root.json snapshot.json timestamp.json mirrors.json

### Index tarball layout

\${pkgname}/\${version}/\${pkgname}.cabal
\${pkgname}/\${version}/package.json



Designed to be served over HTTP

- download index to use in solving and planning
- only download package tarballs as needed

Index as (compressed) tarball format

- standardised format, portable, stable, 3rd party tools
- append only
- incremental update by fetching the tail with HTTP range request
- supports arbitrary snapshots of index by using prefixes



Hackage has a (partial) security system

- based on 'The Update System' (TUF) design
- prevents man-in-the-middle, replay and rollback attacks
- enables safe use of untrusted mirrors
- TUF design customised for Hackage (index format)
- does not (yet) include per-package author signing
- implemented in hackage-security package



#### Mirrors

- mirrors.json can publish known mirrors
- hackage-security package will use mirrors automagically
- hackage-security used by cabal-install and other tools
- hackage-mirror client can incrementally mirror
- hackage.haskell.org has a couple public mirrors



# Post-release editing of package metadata

Possible to update . cabal file in index without uploading new tarball Why:

- enables distro maintenance role, centrally rather than duplicating per distro
- quicker fixing of minor problems

How:

- package tarball not modified (tarballs must have stable hash)
- tar format supports file replacement by appending new file
- original and all updates available in the index
- cabal-install uses the latest .cabal file in the index for solving, and overwrites the the .cabal file after unpacking
- compatible with cabal-install stable index snapshots



Large scale distributed development requires that developers be aware of what is available to reuse

So package search is important!

hackage-server has a simple full text search

- uses tokenize lexer, snowball stemmer, full-text-search
- full-text-search lib uses BM25 ranking algorithm
- multiple weighted text attributes: package name, synopsis and description
- should be extended to non-text attributes like 'package rank'



Original hackage-scripts used CGI and file system as database

hackage-server rewrite as a http server

- "all in one" design, http server and data store
- based on Happstack http server library
- uses acid-state for most state
- source and documentation tarballs stored on disk
- 'only' approx 30kloc



Internal design is very modular

- perhaps unnecessarily modular for its size
- URLs and data store for each feature is separate
- features can be turned on/off
- features combined at top level at runtime

These days might do it differently

- whole application DB schema
- whole application URL scheme



# Q & A

